

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,331	07/19/2000	Hideto Horikoshi	JP9-1999-0035	1158	
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER		
Anne Vachon Dougherty Esq			GURSHMAN, GRIGORY		
3173 Cedar Road Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2132		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/619,33		HORIKOSHI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	Grigory G		2132			
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	•					
Period for				•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. (30) days, a reply within the state statutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 7/19/00.					
2a)□	•	·					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			*			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict ion Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 19 July 200 Applicant may not request that any objected to be applicant may not request that any objected to applicant may not request the applicant may not request the applicant may not request the applicant may not re	are withdrawn from conjection and/or election relation and/or election relation by the Examiner. Output Description: O is/are: a) O accepte	equirement. d or b)⊠ objected to				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		· —				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because some of the figures are drown by hand. 1. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331 Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 3. Claims 1- 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller (U.S. Patent No. 6.038.320).
- 4. Referring to the instant claims, Miller discloses a computer security key (see abstract). Miller teaches a computer security key provides security to a computer, which includes a computer bus. The computer is programmed to function with the security key. The security key includes a connector that is adapted to be coupled to the computer bus, a controller coupled to the connector, and a storage device coupled to the controller. The connector must be coupled to the computer bus for the computer to be operational. In addition, a unique key code is stored in the security key and the computer. The key code stored in the security key must match the key code stored in the computer for the computer to be operational. Further, an encrypted password is stored in the security key. A password is entered into the computer and sent to the security key where it is encrypted by the security key. The encrypted password stored in the security key must match the encrypted password entered into the computer for the computer to be operational (see abstract and Fig. 2).
- 4. Referring to the independent claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 21 and 22, the limitation "storing setting data for setting the attachment of the security device to the computer in ... a storage unit of the computer" is met by a unique key code stored in the computer (see abstract and block 18 in Fig. 2). The limitation "detecting the attachment of the security

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

device to the computer" is met by comparing the code stored in the security key with the key code stored in the computer (see abstract and block 84 in Fig. 5). The limitation "storing the attachment data indicating the detection ... in a second storage unit ... in the computer" is met by teaching that the key code received from the key 40 is compared to the key code stored in the BIOS flash 24 instead of in main memory (see column 6, lines 5-7). The limitation "detecting a removal of the security device from the computer based on the setting data; and prohibiting access to the computer" is met by Fig.7. In Fig. 7 Miller explicitly shows that operation system detects the removal of USB security key. Once the removal is detected the computer is put out of operational mode (see block 380).

- 5. Referring to claims 3 5, the limitation "connecting the connection device of an internal power wiring equipment" is met by a hub (30 in Fig.2). The limitation "prohibiting access to the computer by the disconnection" is met by Fig. 6. In Fig. 6, Miller explicitly shows disconnection causes computer to go into a sleep mode (see unit 160). Referring to claims 5 and 6, it is inherent to store data while main power supply of the computer is as at a halt and a backup power supply is operating.
- 7. Referring to claim 2, Miller teaches the limitation "entering a predetermined password" see Fig. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331 Page 5

Art Unit: 2132

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (U.S. Patent No. 6.038.320) in view of Isaacman (U.S. Patent No. 5.936.527).
- 10. Referring to the instant claims Miller discloses a computer security key (see abstract). Miller teaches a computer security key provides security to a computer, which includes a computer bus. The computer is programmed to function with the security key. The security key includes a connector that is adapted to be coupled to the computer bus, a controller coupled to the connector, and a storage device coupled to the controller. The connector must be coupled to the computer bus for the computer to be operational. In addition, a unique key code is stored in the security key and the computer. The key code stored in the security key must match the key code stored in the computer for the computer to be operational (see abstract).

While Miller teaches the key code stored in the computer, he does not teach the use of RFID tags for the antenna coupled to the connecting device.

11. Referring to the instant claims, Isaacman discloses an apparatus for tracking objects (see abstract). Isaacman teaches that a conventional RFID tag systems will now be described. RFID tag systems generally consist of a personal computer (PC) or other computing device, a radio frequency transmitter which sends an RF signal to the tag and which "excites" the tag into generating an RF response, and a receiver which receives the excited response from the tag (see column 3, lines 8-14). Isaacman shows

a PC connected to the Host Transceiver (i.e. RF antenna), which tracks the objects according their RFID tags (see Fig. 3).

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a security device attached to a computer of Miller by adding the RF antenna as taught in Isaacman. One of ordinary skill in teha rt would have been motivated to modify a security device attached to a computer by adding the RF antenna as taught in Isaacman for enabling the system rapidly identify the location of RFID tagged objects (see Isaacman abstract and Fig.3)

12. Referring to claims 13-19, Isaacman teaches analog to digital conversion.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - U.S. Patent No. 5.467.469 to Saito et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grigory Gurshman whose telephone number is (703) 306-2900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Art Unit: 2132

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Grigory Gurshman Examiner Art Unit 2132

GG

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100